DVERO, HADLEY & McCLOY LLP

SERIAL NO. 09/474.6 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 36325-0060

28 2002 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Yash P. Sharma

Art Unit:

1644

09/474,677

Examiner:

M. Tung

Filed:

December 29, 1999

Attorney Docket No. 36325-00600

Title:

TREATMENT AND PREVENTION OF HIV AND OTHER VIRAL INFECTIONS

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks Washington, DC 2202-3513

Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents:

1. Amendment

Check No. 3369 for \$460.00. 2.

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

If there are any charges, or any credits, please apply them to Deposit Account No. 13-

3250.

Respectfully submitted,

Occamber 26, 2001

W. Jackson Matney, Jr..

Reg. No. 39,292

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP International Square Building 1825 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Telephone:

(202) 835-7500

Facsimile:

(202) 835-7586

December 26, 2001 Date of Deposit:

I hereby certify under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated above with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for

Patents, Washington, DC 2023

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Filed

Title

Examiner: M. Tung

December 29, 1999

TREATMENT AND PREVENTION OF HIV AND OTHER VIRAFECENER 1600/2900

RESPONSE

missioner for Patents

C 20231

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed June 25, 2001, the period for response to which expires December 26, 2001, given the requested three-month extension of time and that December 25, 2001, is a federal holiday, please consider the following.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Status of Application

In the Office Action issued June 25, 2001, the specification was objected to; claims 1-3 and 6-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabled; claim 16 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite; and claims 1-3 and 6-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) because the Applicant allegedly did not invent the claimed subject matter. Claims 4, 5, and 21-27 have been withdrawn by the Examiner. Thus, claims 1-3 and 6-20 remain under consideration in this application.

It is respectfully requested that the objection, and rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 112 be withdrawn; and that pending claims 1-3 and 6-20 be indicated as allowable.